

Let's talk about

The Elephant in the Room

...before it's too late.



Is there any solution that works for both?

Security vs. DevOps

Failure is NOT an option

Fail FAST, try again

"So many environments, developers, contractors, and automation tools..."

"In minutes, not days I need to assign access to specialized tools and environments based on each phase of development and operations."

"I can't responsibly secure the 'unknown' actions of others to dynamic environments."


"I'd consider change if it increases productivity, works with CI/CD, and I don't lose control."

-Security

-DevOps

Why this is hard.

Traditional security was not designed to handle constant change. Yet, software-defined environments and continuous integration and development are all about change and speed. Continuous authorization that simultaneously takes user and device trust, device security posture, and resource sensitivity into account is necessary for security to keep pace with digital transformation and development.



BANYAN
SECURITY

Security Teams

Device Risk Controlled

Devices are registered, and user and device security status are verified continuously

Developer Risk Controlled

Contractor or in-house, provide least privilege access, continuously verify and log access

Dynamic Verification

Change in policy (device posture requirements or user access) enforced immediately

DevOps Teams

Faster

Set-up in minutes with one-click access to all the necessary resources you need

Focused

No more configuration of Bastion hosts or VPNs

Logical

Software-defined security and verification, even if the backend infrastructure changes

What to do now?

Visit

banyansecurity.io

See It In Action

Get a Demo

Learn More

Banyan Security Team Edition